
Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by KPW1956 - 2006/09/08 21:38

Just to let members who belong to both sites know that you may be at risk for being bumped/cancelled out of WCW without notice.

I inadvertently got tangled up in someone else's disputes... and made a reply regarding the situation on WCW. I really was trying to be a peacemaker..

Within hours, my access to WCW ceased to exist. I used the same member name there as here. So if anyone figures out if I violated anything let me know. My inquiry regarding the issue has gone unanswered. I thought that perhaps there was a remote chance that it was a mistake. I have since found out, another SOT member was also denied access.

I really thought both sites would be united in purpose and promote fairness in discussions and posts.

I realize both administrators have full powers to withdraw anyone's membership at any time.. and I do respect that. But I would also hope that they would be fair in exercising their powers.

I have never before prior to the last 2 days considered these 2 wonderful sites to be in competition with one another. I hope most of the members at both sites don't harbor that notion either. With SO gone, it would be wonderful if we could consider ourselves allies in the quest to bring back SO in some form in the future.

So much for trying to be a peacemaker!

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by 1putter - 2006/09/08 21:44

:angry: I was automatically "bumped off" WCW website because I didn't post enough. They made a new "rule" that you had to post a minimum number of times which I totally disagree(d) with. Frankly, I don't always feel the need to comment on an issue and sometimes need to just "listen"...ie; read.

Anyway, it's no great loss because this website is WAY better than that one in my opinion. Much less "clutter" on this one.

I'm happy to be here ;)

(Maybe it was a blessing in disguise, KPW 1956)

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by KPW1956 - 2006/09/08 22:45

I think they both have some wonderful members... and great conversations and topics. WCW had some unique features and I really enjoyed reading all of Laura's (the Rev) contributions. I even directed a post to her a few months ago to which she provided a reply.

In my case, I have had posts before and actually had made posts on 3 or 4 separate threads the end of this week so it can't be that I wasn't active. I did get bumped another time but it was supposedly by mistake and the admin did fix it..

It would be hard to reply to stuff just for the sake of replying and meeting some sort of quota.....

I would really like to think that members of both sites would like to be able to coexist..

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by LaurenB - 2006/09/08 23:43

How juvenile and controlling of "peachy", though it does not surprise me.. Peachy has a hidden agenda...does this not make her agenda clear?

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by Nadia - 2006/09/08 23:53

Maybe I'm slow.. what would that agenda be?:huh:

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by LaurenB - 2006/09/09 00:01

Nadia wrote:

Maybe I'm slow.. what would that agenda be?:huh:

That "agenda" would be..."Agree with Peachy and "NOT belong to another site " or YOU Are OUT"...quite obviouse!

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by Nadia - 2006/09/09 00:15

Very oblivious to you maybe LaurenB but not to me... However thanks for your explanation. I had no idea what was and is going on her site and what her agenda might be.

I haven't been to her site in many months.
;)

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by LaurenB - 2006/09/09 00:35

I have not been there for many months....but the agenda is obviouse...why can't people belong to any site that they choose?

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by Nadia - 2006/09/09 00:39

You're right and we have that right. If she doesn't want ppl like you or anyone else then it's tough for her, and her site right?

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by mazzy - 2006/09/09 09:02

KPW1956 wrote:

Just to let members who belong to both sites know that you may be at risk for being bumped/cancelled out of WCW without notice.

I inadverdantly got tangled up in someone else's disputes... and made a reply regarding the situation on WCW. I really was trying to be a peacemaker..

Within hours, my access to WCW ceased to exist. I used the same member name there as here. So if anyone figures out if I violated anything let me know. My inquiry regarding the issue has gone unanswered. I thought that perhaps there was a remote chance that it was a mistake. I have since found out, another SOT member was also denied access.

I really thought both sites would be united in purpose and promote fairness in discussions and posts.

I realize both administrators have full powers to withdraw anyone's membership at any time.. and I do respect that. But I would also hope that they would be fair in exercising their powers.

I seem to be bumped/cancelled out of WCW without notice too... I had a problem with my password, and was not posting often due to family illness... Strange...

I have never before prior to the last 2 days considered these 2 wonderful sites to be in competition with one another. I hope most of the members at both sites don't harbor that notion either. With SO gone, it would be wonderful if we could consider ourselves allies in the quest to bring back SO in some form in the future.

So much for trying to be a peacemaker!

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by mazzy - 2006/09/09 09:13

I too was bumped/cancelled out of WCW without notice. I had a problem with my password... I wasn't posting due to family illness... I too use the same member name there as here... Strange. I too tried to e-mail them, however, to date have not received an answer. Oh well... their loss. LOL

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by KPW1956 - 2006/09/14 01:52

I do want to let the community here know that I rec'd a WCW newsletter today so I used the opportunity to bring up my status again. The admin there didn't know what happened and hadn't seen or read any email from me. I'm officially back in and I've been assured that I and any others who hold dual memberships shouldn't have trouble and that our opinions are valued too.

I apologize for raising alarm and concern unnecessarily.

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by Tara - 2006/09/14 02:02

Wow there seems to be a lot of problems over there with this type of thing. It's almost as mysterious as the missing threads that were deleted from the season 1 forum over here :dry:

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by KPW1956 - 2006/09/14 11:15

I have no idea what's going on with both sites.. Some of it seems too coincidental but I like to give people the benefit of the doubt.

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by doxygirl - 2006/09/17 22:50

I like to give people the benefit of the doubt too and have not experienced anything on WCW that would seem to prevent a dual membership. There are great people there and great people left on this site too. I do miss posters who used to come here quite often and always had very honest and entertaining and funny opinions then for reasons that never seemed quite clear just disappeared. Sharing and even challenging sometimes are what kept it so interesting. But hey, when we can catch people we like on more than one site, all the better! :)

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by turtle - 2006/11/09 19:07

So, we don't have to meet a "posting" quota to stay active on WCW? Or, do we? I don't always feel like posting. Either so many others have said what I would've said. Or, my opinion differed so much from everyone else's that I didn't think I'd be fairly received.

And, there's so much "clutter" in the threads, they're hard to navigate.

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by dbmcmommy - 2006/11/10 00:10

I only registered over there at WCW to see what all the fuss was about. I have been deleted from their system. I didn't care to stay over there anyway because it is very difficult to navigate but to just be deleted was so juvenile. No communication about it either. Oh well. I'm not missing anything.

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by Tara - 2006/11/10 01:44

That's really too bad that they feel the need to block people who haven't logged in for a few weeks. If it's been 6 months that's one thing but people do have lives outside the internet. What's even worse is there is no contact info either. All it's doing is upsetting members of their site.

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by bobcat - 2006/11/10 16:02

Don't want to appear dumb but what is WCW? I am new even to SO site as we just recently purchased a computer. I only found this site as I was trying to find out when the new season of SO was starting . If anyone would care to take the time , I would appreciate it . Thanks ...Cat...)

=====

Re:Fair Warning - RE:WCW - SOT dual memberships

Posted by wish - 2006/11/10 19:52

wcw is a site called womencomfortwomen and yes it is true 7 days of inactivity your gone from the site.

=====